

PLAN CHECK LETTER

April 27, 2020

Scott Pluta 4300 17th Street, Apt. A San Francisco, CA

Project Address: 4300 17th Street
Assessor's Block/Lot: 2626/014A
Zoning District: RH-2/40-X

Special Use District: Corona Heights Large Residence

Building Permit Number: 2019.1218.9888, 2019.1231.1087 and 2019.1231.1092

Planning Record Number: 2019-013808PRJ

Project Manager: Jeff Horn, Senior Planner, <u>Jeffrey.Horn@sfgov.org</u>, (415) 575-6925

Environmental Planner: Kristina Phung, Env. Planner <u>kristina.phung@sfgov.org</u>, (415) 558-6373

The Project Application for the above address has been reviewed by the Planning Department. This Plan Check Letter indicates (1) any information required to proceed with environmental analysis, (2) any missing information or modifications that must be provided to demonstrate compliance with the Planning Code and proceed with environmental analysis, and (3) any other modifications the Department is seeking in order to support the project. Please review this Plan Check Letter carefully, and follow the instructions provided in order to advance the review process.

PROJECT REVIEW HISTORY

On November 6, 2019, a project application was submitted to the Planning Department.

On December 5, 2019, the Planning Department deemed the Project Application accepted, and Environmental and Current Planners were assigned.

On March 18, 2020, the Residential Design and Advisory Team (RDAT) reviewed the project.

RESPONSES REQUIRED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

The Department is unable to proceed with environmental analysis for the project until the following information or modifications are provided or addressed:

- 1. All modifications and requirements identified in the Planning Code Review Checklist (**Appendix A**). These project modifications and missing materials or information are necessary to confirm that the project is compliant with applicable Planning Code requirements.
- 2. All requirements identified in the Environmental Review Checklist (**Appendix B**). These outstanding materials and next steps are required for environmental analysis to proceed. Please note these may require changes to previously provided documents or technical reports (e.g., Historic Resource Evaluation).

PROJECT REVIEW COMMENTS

- 1. The Department's priority is to maximize the development of housing units that can be reasonably accommodated under the site's zoning and applicable density bonus programs while maintaining quality of life and adherence to applicable standards. However, the Department's Senior Management has reviewed the proposed project and does not support the intensity of non-compliance the proposal seeks to achieve higher density at the site (a lot-split and new construction with variances). The Department recommends the project be revised to be code-conforming within the existing lot, and if the Sponsor seeks density greater than that allowed in the RH-2 District, please continue to pursue accessory dwelling units within the existing structure and within an detached auxiliary structure (Section 207(c)(6)), if feasible.
- 2. The Residential Design Advisory Team (RDAT) has reviewed the project and does not find the current proposal to be consistent with the Residential Design Guidelines. Eliminating (by developing upon) the subject property's rear yard would have significant negative impacts to neighboring properties. RDAT does not support the project as designed, including lot split and variance request. RDAT recommends re-designing the proposal as a code compliant project that maintains the required 45% rear yard. RDAT also recommends the project explore options in Section 136 (permitted obstructions) of the Planning code for allowable projections into rear yard and to consider options for ADUs in the rear yard. All requirements are identified in the attached Residential Design Guideline Matrix.
- 3. The existing "Office/Studio" has direct access to the street, a half-bath, and is independent from all other units in the building, please complete and submit an Unpermitted Dwelling Unit Screening Request and Affidavit. https://sfplanning.org/resource/udu-screening-request-form-affidavit.
- 4. Please ensure any proposed ADU's meet the requirements of the Checklist for Multi-Family properties. https://sfplanning.org/resource/accessory-dwelling-units-adu-checklists



- 5. Please review the Department's <u>Plan Submittal Guidelines</u>, and address as outstanding issues outlined in the attached Appendix A.
- 6. Please review the height of the proposed new construction project for compliance with Planning Code Sections <u>260(a)</u> and <u>261</u>.

TARGET REVIEW TIMEFRAME

Based on to the scope of your project and the anticipated level of environmental review, the target timeframe for the Planning Department to complete its review and approval will be 9 months from the date a complete response to this Plan Check Letter is received and verified for accuracy. Please note, this timeframe may be modified if there is a substantive change to the project description.

REQUIRED ACTION

- 1. Please include a written response to this letter that discusses how you have addressed the items outlined above and in each of the attachments. Please note that the Department may request further revisions to the project as part of the environmental review process (e.g., to avoid a significant impact), or to ensure conformity with the Planning Code, design guidelines and other local ordinances and policies.
- 2. Within ninety (90) days from the date of this letter, please submit the requested information, or contact the project manager listed above if more time is needed to prepare the requested information. If the Department has not received the requested information or a request for additional time within 90 days, the application will be cancelled.

All building permit plan revisions must be filed at the Department of Building Inspection (DBI), Permit Processing Center (PPC), 1660 Mission Street, 2nd floor. To officially submit a change to the building permit plans, do not submit building permit plans directly to the Planning Department. Plan revisions will not be accepted by mail or messenger.

All revisions to Planning Department entitlement cases (e.g., CUA) must be submitted to the Planning Department, 1650 Mission Street, 4^{th} floor, or via email to the Planner's attention. This is a separate submittal from any building plan revisions submitted to DBI.

Please do not come to the Planning Department to discuss this letter without an appointment. Please direct all general questions or meeting requests to the project manager listed above. For questions related specifically to environmental review, please contact the environmental planner listed above.

Thank you,

Jeff Horn, Senior Planner Southwest Team, Current Planning Division



CC: Scott Pluta, 4300A 17th Street, San Francisco Kristina Phung, Environmental Planning Division Trent Greenan, Residential Design Advisory Team



4300 17th Street

Contact: Jeff Horn | jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org; | (415) 575-6925

PLAN SUBMITTAL GUIDELINES:

Provided	Not Provided	Not Required		Description & Comment		
\boxtimes			General Information			
\boxtimes			Title Sheet & Details			
\boxtimes			Site Survey			
			Site Plan	-Dimension the width of the proposed lotsDraw and label the 45% setback lines on the Proposed Site PlanUnder "Setback", please determine and provide the dimensions. "Average of Adjacent Properties" is not sufficient informationInclude the existing and proposed buildings' footprints and projections (bays, decks). Add labels is needed for clarity. It is important to know the location of building walls at grade. This is drawn correctly on the Survey.		
\boxtimes			Floor & Roof Plans			
\boxtimes			Elevations	Include the outline of the adjacent properties' windows at shared property lines.		
	\boxtimes		Sections	Provide existing and proposed Section drawings.		
\boxtimes		\boxtimes	Landscaping Plan			
\boxtimes		\boxtimes	Streetscape Plan			
			Material Specifications Provide a typical window section detail for the proposed window systems. The glazing should have a minimum 2" depth revel from the exterior wall.			
\boxtimes			Photographs	Provide photos of the existing office/studio		
	\boxtimes		Renderings	Provide renderings of a street view of the front façade, and of the rear of the building to provide context of the new structure's relation to the rear yards of adjacent properties and the larger midblock open space.		

LAND USE:

Permitted Use	Conditional Use		Planning	g Code Section & Comment
\boxtimes		<u>209.1</u>	RH-2	
	\boxtimes	<u>249.77</u>	Special Use Districts	249.77(d)(1) and 249.77(d)(4)

Comments: The project has submitted a Conditional Use Authorization Supplemental Application with findings required under Planning Codes Section 249.77.

CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION:

Required		Planning Code Section					
\boxtimes	<u>303</u>	Conditional Use Authorization					
	Comments: The project has submitted a Conditional Use Authorization Supplemental Application with findings required under Planning Code Section 303(c)						

OTHER REQUIRED APPROVALS:

Required		Planning Code Section
\boxtimes	<u>305</u>	Variance

Comments: The project has submitted a Variance Application for Planning Code Sections 121 (lot size) and 134 (rear yard setback). Both proposed ADU's require a waiver for Planning Code Section 135 (open space).



Contact: Jeff Horn| jeffrey.horn@sfgov.org; | (415) 575-6925

4300 17th Street

ADDITIONAL PLANNING CODE REQUIREMENTS:

Complies	Does Not Comply	Needs Info	Plar	nning Code Section	Comments	
	\boxtimes		<u>121</u>	Lot Area/Width	Variance sought for proposed non-conforming lot size (Section 121)	
	\boxtimes		<u>132</u>	Front Setback The upper floors extend beyond the front setb		
\boxtimes			<u>132(g)</u>	Green Landscaping		
\boxtimes			<u>132(h)</u>	Permeability		
	\boxtimes		<u>134</u>	Rear Yard	The project seeks a rear yard variance.	
\boxtimes	\boxtimes		<u>135</u>	Open Space	Open Space provided on roof decks, ADUs require a waiver.	
	\boxtimes		<u>136</u>	Permitted Obstructions	The project proposes non-conforming obstructions over the sidewalk at the 2 nd and 3 rd floors, please redesign. Note, Section 136 has recently been amended to allow 4-foot deep projections.	
\boxtimes			<u>138.1</u>	Streetscape Plan		
\boxtimes			<u>140</u>	Dwelling Unit Exposure		
\boxtimes			<u>141</u>	Rooftop Screening		
			<u>142</u>	Parking Screening & Greening		
	\boxtimes		<u>155.2</u>	Bicycle Parking	Provide Class I bicycle parking as required, 1 space per unit.	
	\boxtimes		207(c)(6)	Accessory Dwelling Units	As proposed, the ADUs require a waiver for open space. Complete ADU checklist	
			<u>260(a)</u>	Height	For the new structure, height measurements should be measured starting at curb level at the center of the new lot. Please review Section 260(a)(1)(C) for measurement methods on upsloping lots.	
		\boxtimes	<u>260(b)</u>	Exemptions from Height		
			<u>261</u>	Height Limits	Please review Section 261(c)(1). Height measurement should start at the front property line, on the plans for the new structure the measurement begins at the front wall of the 2^{nd} and $3rd$ floor projection.	

DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES:

Required		Planning Code Section
\boxtimes	414A	Child-Care for Residential Projects



APPENDIX B: ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW CHECKLIST

This table outlines the missing material necessary for Environmental Planning to conduct their review. If you have specific questions regarding the requested items, please contact the Environmental Planner, Kristina Phung, kristina.phung@sfgov.org , (415) 558-6373					
ENVIRONMENTAL TOPIC	MISSING MATERIALS/ INFORMATION	DETAILED DESCRIPTION	(For Dept. Use after accepted Project Application and response to Plan Check Letter) SUBMITTED		
Project Description	Location of Bicycle Parking	The project plans (both for existing building and proposed new building) should show the location of proposed bicycle parking.	□ YES □ NO		
Geology and Soils	Foundation Information	The project sponsor must provide a description of the proposed building foundation, and whether drilled or driven piers would be required.	□ YES □ NO		
Greenhouse Gases	Review GHG Checklist Attachment	The environmental planner has updated the checklist previously submitted by the sponsor.	□ YES □ NO		
Noise	Construction Equipment List	The project sponsor must submit a construction equipment list, detailing construction phases and any proposed equipment to be used.	□ YES □ NO		

Abbreviations:

CEQA: California Environmental Quality Act

EIR: Environmental Impact Report

Attachments

- Greenhouse Gases Checklist for Private Development Projects, 4300 17th Street, with Planning Comments
- Construction Equipment Information Sheet



	RESID	ENTIAL DESIGN GUIDELINES	MATRIX	
Proied	ct address	4300 17th Street	REVIEW TYPE	RDAT
_	cation number	2019-013808PRJ	Date of Review / Response	
Quadi	rant	SW	Date of Drawings	
Assign	ned Planner	JH	Comment author	
Assig	ned Design Review staff		· ·	Jeff Horn, Trent Greenan, Jeff Joslin, David Winslow, Allison Albericci, Luiz Barata, Glenn Cabreros, Oscar Hernadez- Gomez, Claudine Asbagh
#	Guideline Chapter, Topic	Subtopic	Guideline	
		Neighborhood Character		
II1	WHAT IS THE CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD?	Defined Visual Character	GUIDELINE: In areas with a defined visual character, design buildings to be compatible with the patterns and architectural features of surrounding buildings.	NA
II2		Mixed Visual Character	GUIDELINE: In areas with a mixed visual character, design buildings to help define, unify and contribute positively to the existing visual context.	MEETS GUIDELINE
		Site Design		
III 1	TOPOGRAPHY		Guideline: Respect the topography of the site and the surrounding area.	MEETS GUIDELINE
III 2	FRONT SETBACK		GUIDELINE: Treat the front setback so that it provides a pedestrian scale and enhances the street.	MEETS GUIDELINE
III 3		Varied Front Setbacks	GUIDELINE: In areas with varied front setbacks, design building setbacks to act as a transition between adjacent buildings and to unify the overall streetscape.	MEETS GUIDELINE
III 4		Landscaping	GUIDELINE: Provide landscaping in the front setback.	MEETS GUIDELINE
III 5	SIDE SPACING BETWEEN BUILDINGS		GUIDELINE: Respect the existing pattern of side spacing.	MEETS GUIDELINE

	RESID	ENTIAL DESIGN GUIDELINES	MATRIX	
Proiec	t address	4300 17th Street	REVIEW TYPE	RDAT
	ation number	2019-013808PRJ	Date of Review / Response	
Quadr		SW	Date of Drawings	
Assign	ned Planner	JH	Comment author	Trent Greenan
Assign	ned Design Review staff		Meeting Attendees	Jeff Horn, Trent Greenan, Jeff Joslin, David Winslow, Allison Albericci, Luiz Barata, Glenn Cabreros, Oscar Hernadez- Gomez, Claudine Asbagh
III 6	REAR YARD		GUIDELINE: Articulate the building to minimize impacts on light and privacy to adjacent properties.	Eliminating the rear yard would have significant negative impacts to neighboring properties. RDAT does not support the project as designed including lot split and variance. Recommend redesigning a code compliant project that maintains the required 45% rear yard. Explore options in section 136 of the Planning code for allowable projections into rear yard. Consider options for ADUs in the rear yard.
III 7	VIEWS		GUIDELINE: Protect major public views from public spaces.	NA
III 8	SPECIAL BUILDING LOCATIONS	Corner Buildings	GUIDELINE: Provide greater visual emphasis to corner buildings.	MEETS GUIDELINE
III 9		Building Abutting Public Spaces	GUIDELINE: Design building facades to enhance and complement adjacent public spaces.	NA
III 10		Rear Yard	GUIDELINE: Articulate the building to minimize impacts on light to adjacent cottages.	NA
		Building Scale and Form		
IV 1		Building Scale	GUIDELINE: Design the scale of the building to be compatible with the height and depth of surrounding buildings.	See comment III 6.

RE	SIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDELINES	S MATRIX	
Project address	4300 17th Street	REVIEW TYPE	RDAT
Application number	2019-013808PRJ	Date of Review / Response	3/18/2020
Quadrant	SW	Date of Drawings	
Assigned Planner	JH	Comment author	
Assigned Design Review staff			Jeff Horn, Trent Greenan, Jeff Joslin, David Winslow, Allison Albericci, Luiz Barata, Glenn Cabreros, Oscar Hernadez- Gomez, Claudine Asbagh
IV 2	Building Scale at the Street	GUIDELINE: Design the height and depth of the building to be compatible with the existing building scale at the street.	MEETS GUIDELINE
IV 3	Building Scale at the Mid-Block Open Space	GUIDELINE: Design the height and depth of the building to be compatible with the existing building scale at the mid-block open space.	See comment III 6.
IV 4 BUILDING FORM		GUIDELINE: Design the building's form to be compatible with that of surrounding buildings.	See comment III 6.
IV 5	Facade Width	GUIDELINE: Design the building's facade width to be compatible with those found on surrounding buildings.	MEETS GUIDELINE
IV 6	Proportions	GUIDELINE: Design the building's proportions to be compatible with those found on surrounding buildings.	MEETS GUIDELINE
IV 7	Rooflines	GUIDELINE: Design rooflines to be compatible with those found on surrounding buildings.	MEETS GUIDELINE
	Architectural Features		
V 1 BUILDING ENTRANCES		GUIDELINE: Design building entrances to enhance the connection between the public realm of the street and sidewalk and the private realm of the building.	MEETS GUIDELINE
V 2	Location of Building Entrances	GUIDELINE: Respect the existing pattern of building entrances.	See comment III 6.
V 3	Front Porches	GUIDELINE: Provide front porches that are compatible with existing porches of surrounding buildings.	NA

	RES	DENTIAL DESIGN GUIDELIN	IES MATRIX	
Projec	et address	4300 17th Street	REVIEW TYPE	RDAT
Applic	ation number	2019-013808PRJ	Date of Review / Response	3/18/2020
Quadi	ant	SW	Date of Drawings	10/25/2019
Assign	ned Planner	JH	Comment author	Trent Greenan
Assigi	ned Design Review staff		Meeting Attendees	Jeff Horn, Trent Greenan, Jeff
				Joslin, David Winslow, Allison
				Albericci, Luiz Barata, Glenn
				Cabreros, Oscar Hernadez-
				Gomez, Claudine Asbagh
V 4		Utility Panels	GUIDELINE: Locate utility panels so they	Show location of panels in plans.
			are not visible on the front building wall or	
			on the sidewalk.	
V 5	BAY WINDOWS		GUIDELINE: Design the length, height and	NA
			type of bay windows to be compatible with	
			those on surrounding buildings.	
V 6	GARAGES	Garage Structures	GUIDELINE: Detail garage structures to	NA
			create a visually interesting street	
			frontage.	
V 7		Garage Door Design and	GUIDELINE: Design and place garage	MEETS GUIDELINE
		Placement	entrances and doors to be compatible with	
			the building and the surrounding area.	
V 8		Garage Door Widths	GUIDELINE: Minimize the width of garage	MEETS GUIDELINE
			entrances.	
V 9		Curb Cuts	GUIDELINE: Coordinate the placement of	MEETS GUIDELINE
			curb cuts.	
V 9	ROOFTOP ARCHITECTURAL		GUIDELINE: Sensitively locate and screen	See comment III 6.
	FEATURES		rooftop features so they do not dominate	
			the appearance of a building.	
V 10		Stair Penthouses	GUIDELINE: Design stair penthouses to	See comment III 6.
1		Stall 1 Shirledood	minimize their visibility from the street.	Coo comment in o.
			Thin in East well many from the east a	
V 11		Parapets	GUIDELINE: Design parapets to be	See comment III 6.
			compatible with overall building	
			proportions and other building elements.	
V 12		Dormers	GUIDELINE: Design dormers to be	NA
			compatible with the architectural character	
			of surrounding buildings.	

	RES	IDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDELINE	S MATRIX	
Projec	t address	4300 17th Street	REVIEW TYPE	RDAT
Applic	ation number	2019-013808PRJ	Date of Review / Response	3/18/2020
Quadr	ant	SW	Date of Drawings	10/25/2019
Assigr	ned Planner	JH	Comment author	Trent Greenan
	ned Design Review staff			Jeff Horn, Trent Greenan, Jeff Joslin, David Winslow, Allison Albericci, Luiz Barata, Glenn Cabreros, Oscar Hernadez- Gomez, Claudine Asbagh
V 13		Windscreens	GUIDELINE: Design windscreens to minimize impacts on the building's design and on light to adjacent buildings.	See comment III 6.
		Building Details		
VI 1	ARCHITECTURAL DETAILS		GUIDELINE: Design the placement and scale of architectural details to be compatible with the building and the surrounding area.	See comment III 6.
VI 2	WINDOWS		GUIDELINE: Use windows that contribute to the architectural character of the building and the neighborhood.	See comment III 6.
VI 3		Window Size	GUIDELINE: Relate the proportion and size of windows to that of existing buildings in the neighborhood.	See comment III 6.
VI 4		Window Features	GUIDELINE: Design window features to be compatible with the building's architectural character, as well as other buildings in the neighborhood.	See comment III 6.
VI 5		Window Material	GUIDELINE: Use window materials that are compatible with those found on surrounding buildings, especially on facades visible from the street.	See comment III 6.
VI 6	EXTERIOR MATERIALS		GUIDELINE: The type, finish, and quality of a building's materials must be compatible with those used in the surrounding area.	Propose a material pallete and propoertions that are compatible with those used in the surrounding area.
VI 7		Exposed Building Walls	GUIDELINE: All exposed walls must be covered and finished with quality materials that are compatible with the front facade and adjacent buildings.	See comment III 6.

RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDELINES MATRIX			
Project address	4300 17th Street	REVIEW TYPE	RDAT
Application number	2019-013808PRJ	Date of Review / Response	3/18/2020
Quadrant	SW	Date of Drawings	10/25/2019
Assigned Planner	JH	Comment author	Trent Greenan
Assigned Design Review staff		Meeting Attendees	Jeff Horn, Trent Greenan, Jeff
			Joslin, David Winslow, Allison
			Albericci, Luiz Barata, Glenn
			Cabreros, Oscar Hernadez-
			Gomez, Claudine Asbagh
VI 8	Material Detailing	GUIDELINE: Ensure that materials are	See comment III 6.
		properly detailed and appropriately	
		applied.	